



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/576,366	05/22/2000	Dejan N. Nenov	M-8603 US	1813

7590 11/17/2003

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
ATTN: RICHARD C. Hsu
379 LYTTON AVENUE
PALO ALTO,, CA 94301-1431

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, MERILYN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2171

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/576,366	NENOV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merilyn P Nguyen	2171	

All Participants:

(1) Merilyn P Nguyen.

(2) Safet Metjahic.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Ko-Fang chang.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2003

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Mazzamuto et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SAFET METJAHIC

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant argues that the reference fail to disclose assign the respective standardized product code of the matching known product to the unidentified product. In the reference, the unique identifier in not a standardized product code. The examiner agree..